# **Result of Review**

Article Title: English Hotel Terminology Equivalence in Other Language

Author(s): Acep Unang Rahayu

#### **Decision of Paper Selection**

- () a. Accept submission, no revisions required
- (\*) b. Accept submission, minor revisions required; please revise the paper according to comments
- () c. Accept submission, major revisions required; please revise the paper according to comments
- () d. Revise and resubmit for review
- () e. Decline submission

#### What should you do next? (Only for accepted papers, A & B)

- ✓ Revise the paper according to the comments (if applicable), and send the revised paper to wjel@sciedupress.com
- ✓ All authors must agree to the publication. Please sign and scan the agreement letter and send it to wjel@sciedupress.com
- ✓ Pay the Article Processing Charge of 1000.00USD for the paper.
  - ♦ Please find payment information at: http://payment.sciedupress.com
  - ♦ Please notify the editorial assistant when payment has been made

#### Proposed Schedule for Publication (Only for accepted papers, A & B)

- ✓ Vol. 13, July 2023 issue, if you meet above requirements within 2 weeks.
- ✓ e-Version First: the online version may be published soon after the final draft is completed.
- ✓ You may also ask to publish the paper later, if you need more time for revision or payment.

#### Additional Information (Only for accepted papers, A & B)

- ✓ You may download your article in PDF at: http://wjel.sciedupress.com
- ✓ You may contact us to request an e-book of the full issue in PDF, free of charge.
- ✓ To order print copies, please visit http://store.sciedupress.com or click "Journal Store" on our website.

# Comments from Editorial Team

| Evaluation                         | Grade   |
|------------------------------------|---|
|                                    | Please give a grade of 5, 4, 3, 2, 1(high to low) |
| Overall evaluation of the paper    | 4   |
| Contribution to existing knowledge | 3   |
| Organization and readability       | 4   |
| Soundness of methodology           | 4   |
| Evidence supports conclusion       | 4   |
| Adequacy of literature review      | 4   |

#### Comments and Suggestions

- (\*) 1. Revise the paper according to Paper Submission Guide: http://author.sciedupress.com
- () 2. Picture(s)/figure(s) are not clear; 300 dpi is required.
- () 3. Move the footnotes to endnotes.
- () 4. Resize the table(s)/figure(s), to fit letter size paper (8.5\*11 inch, 21.59\*27.94cm), and make all pages be vertical.
- () 5. Revise table(s) into three-line table(s).
- () 6. Insert table(s) and figure(s) into the text, not after references.
- () 7. Similarity index (checked by iThenticate) is high, please find the iThenticate report attached, revise to keep the Similarity Index ≤30% and single source matches are ≤6%.
- () 8. Add DOI persistent links to those references that have DOIs, please see *Paper Submission Guide*.
- () 9. Improve the language quality by a professional proofreader. You may arrange the proofreading by yourself. We recommend you use the proofreading service at: http://home.redfame.com/language-service/.
- (\*) 10. Complete the *Response to the Comments*, and send to us, along with the revised manuscript. (Template attached)
- () 11. Each reference cited in the text must appear in the reference list, and each entry in the reference list must be cited in the text.
- () 12. References should not be numbered, so citations in the text with numbers within brackets should be modified as with author's name and publication year. For example, (Smith, 1999), please see Paper Submission Guide.
- () 13. The format of references section is not appropriate; please revise them according to the paper submission guide file.

Note: revise your paper according to the items with "\*"

# Comments from Reviewer A

| ❖ Evaluation (Please evaluate the manuscript by grade 1-5) |                             |  |
|--|-----------------------------|--|
| 5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Ave                                   | rage 2=Below Average 1=Poor |  |
| Items  | Grade                       |  |
| Contribution to existing knowledge                         | 4                           |  |
| Organization and readability                               | 4                           |  |
| Soundness of methodology 4                                 |                             |  |
| Evidence supports conclusion 4                             |                             |  |
| Adequacy of literature review 4                            |                             |  |
| * Strengths  |                             |  |
| * Weaknesses   |                             |  |
| ❖ Suggestions to Author/s                                  |                             |  |

### Appendix

## **Ethical Guidelines for Authors**

- The author should not submit concurrent manuscripts (or manuscripts essentially
  describing the same subject matter) to multiple journals. Likewise, an author should
  not submit any paper previously published anywhere to the journals for consideration.
  The publication of articles on specific subject matter, such as clinical guidelines and
  translations, in more than one journal is acceptable if certain conditions are met.
- The author should present a precise and brief report of his or her research and an impartial description of its significance.
- The author should honestly gather and interpret his or her research data. Publishers,
  editors, reviewers, and readers are entitled to request the author to provide the raw
  data for his or her research for convenience of editorial review and public access. If
  practicable, the author should retain such data for any possible use after publication.
- The author should guarantee that the works he or she has submitted are original. If the
  author has used work and/or words by others, appropriate citations are required.
  Plagiarism in all its forms constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is
  unacceptable.
- The author should indicate explicitly all sources that have supported the research and also declare any conflict(s) of interest.
- The author should give due acknowledgement to all of those who have made contributions to the research. Those who have contributed significantly to the research should be listed as coauthors. The author should ensure that all coauthors have affirmed the final version of the paper and have agreed on its final publication.
- The author should promptly inform the journal editor of any obvious error(s) in his or
  her published paper and cooperate earnestly with the editor in retraction or correction
  of the paper. If the editor is notified by any party other than the author that the
  published paper contains an obvious error, the author should write a retraction or
  make the correction based on the medium of publication.

## **Tools for Authors**

| Tools                              | URL                                     |
|------------------------------------|---|
| APA Citation Style                 | library.concordia.ca/help/howto/apa.php |
| Grammar Check                      | www.grammarly.com                       |
|                                    | www.whitesmoke.com                      |
| Plagiarism Check                   | www.ithenticate.com                     |
| Proofreading Service               | www.proofreadingpal.com                 |
| Purdue Online Writing Lab          | owl.english.purdue.edu                  |
| Get Persistent Links for Reference | www.crossref.org/SimpleTextQuery        |

4